1. Do you want to keep the current bylaw 114?

Unequivocally YES. There has been no demonstrated need to change it from a policy perspective, either in the Trust Policy Statement or the SP OCP. If there are minor adjustments to better reflect specific concerns, then those particular instances can be looked at within the confines of Bylaw 114 as it stands.

2. 2. Do you have specific concerns with current bylaw 114? If yes to 2), What are your suggested solutions? (e.g. revert to old bylaw, or edits to specific sections of current bylaw, or something else?)

No. Nothing in this bylaw creates a legal non-conforming property nor does it have any negative implications for home insurance. The current house sizes allow for almost all existing houses to be significantly enlarged. Should any matter arise that impacts a property owner's development plans, a variance application is available to deal with any site specific matter.

3. Do your concerns (1 or 2 above) include environment and/or privacy and rural character?

Every decision we make in developing our properties affects the environment and its resources. We are required by Trust policies and the Declaration on the Climate Emergency to reduce or mitigate our footprints as much as reasonably possible. Its unnecessary to quote the scientific data here as it has been presented at earlier meetings. The primary reasons for moving to the island is privacy and the rural character. Maintaining those is the essence of the "preserve and protect" mandate so younger generations can experience something other than an urban area.

4. Provide rationale and any other concerns. Include details on how your suggested solution helps address your concern.

Any rationale must come from policy as outlined in earlier submissions. If the policy is weak or does not respond to current demands, then review the policy not the regulations that support the policy. The complaints about the amendments have been 'political' in that they center on personal interests, some unrealistic, others misinformed. The Trust Act is not secondary to people's wishes, it establishes the parameters for property owners to develop their properties. The Trust Area is recognized by the Provincial government as worthy of protection and that is what makes the Trust unique in Canada. Bylaw 114 should be left as is and allowed time for it's provisions to to take effect. Should they not manifest in any beneficial way to contributing to the rural and natural character of the island, the environment, and our community, then consider options at that time.