From: wedges

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 2:20 PM

To: Islands2050 < Islands2050@islandstrust.bc.ca>

Subject: More Denman Island's INPUT...

Greetings...

My Spouse and I are long term full time Residents on Denman Island.

We were present & supportive when the Trust was created, to protect these Islands from savage development. Wise choices had to be made.

The objective was to Preserve and Protect the unique Amenities and Environment for the benefit of the (LEGAL) Residents of the Trust Area. Of course also of British Columbia, in general (in Cooperation...)



The Islands Trust is tasked with running this Paradise on Earth & have so far done a fairly good job of it. Choices have to be made, however, & some limits imposed:

Saints can all easily fit in Paradise happily for they are nice, immaculate, impeccable, need nothing, & do not disturb anything: They don't smoke, don't drink, don't sh*t, don't pollute & always make things better than they find them. But, Saints are a rare commodity.

Regular folks tend to make Hell where they go, so restrictions are wise... for everyone's good!

The Resources are limited and fragile: Don't expect to secure a "spot" or "affordable housing" for everyone...



A Camel is a Horse designed by committee & it is very hard to reach a consensus that is both useful and beautiful. However, keeping things simple and close to basics helps.

Preserving and Protecting the Fauna and Flora (Habitat) is not contentious, "Social Engineering" however can be fractious, & political Reconciliation", though possibly desirable is certainly "Ultra Vires":

They ought not be made part of Written Policy.

The matter of who is de facto a Resident of the Area Trust, with a vote in Policy matters, must be clarified: Owners and Long Term Renters surely... count as Residents, but "illegal" renters, campers and squatters, probably not.

Who sees the Fire, fights the Fire. Who sees Trouble, gives the alert. Nothing wrong in flagging abuse, & bearing witness to it.

However we ought to take into consideration that "what is not expressly forbidden is permitted", in principle, under Common Law, so long as it causes no harm nor nuisance

Ie: No Action nor Fines until without fair hearing... and an opportunity for a Sober Second Thought.

After a Fine is dropped it is all too hard to contest it!

Thank you for your attention... Hope it helps Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely

