

**From:** JEAN BARAKETT <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Input to Islands Trust Council.  
**Date:** July 7, 2021 at 6:05:20 AM PDT  
**To:** [islands2050@islandstrusy.bc.ca](mailto:islands2050@islandstrusy.bc.ca), Russ Hotsenpiller <[rhotsenpiller@islandstrust.bc.ca](mailto:rhotsenpiller@islandstrust.bc.ca)>, "[dmarlor@islandstrust.bc.ca](mailto:dmarlor@islandstrust.bc.ca)" <[dmarlor@islandstrust.bc.ca](mailto:dmarlor@islandstrust.bc.ca)>

Dear Mr. Luckham.

You terminated a presentation to the Islands Trust Council by a Ms. Mielle Chandler who has suffered at the hands of STAFF.

Many others have and continue to suffer under an irrational bylaw enforcement system administered by Mr. Marlor and Mr. Hotsenpillar.

The decisions made in bylaw enforcement cause the Islands Trust to expend tax money on senseless prosecution of imaginary offences.

Staff and Trustee inability to manage these affairs eliminates credence in a policy update developed by them.

Terminating a presentation of a resident that expressed legitimate concerns because in your opinion it was critical of staff violates the democratic process.

I have attached notes taken in the June 15 meeting which caused me to send my email of June 26,2021.

Why give the the draft policy statement first reading when it has produced such resident backlash.

Review, inform, explain and obtain a majority consensus before first reading.

Sincerely.

Brian Milne

NOTES June 15,2021

Morrison and McConchie are the two North Pender Trustees. There were some other trustees at the meeting but clearly the senior staff were driving the meeting.

Notes and observations:

The Trust Programs Committee held a Special Meeting on June 15 to discuss final revisions to the draft Trust Policy Statement – v2.0. It was 3hrs 25 minutes in length.

At the start of the meeting, a motion was put forward to delay First Reading from July 8<sup>th</sup> to the September Trust Council meeting. There was a 1+ hour discussion of the motion, and it failed – the vote was 5 for, 5 against.

In discussion, Staff repeatedly emphasized the project timeline and the need to get it done

during the term of the current Trustees. Staff - the CAO – Hotsenpiller, Director of Planning – Marlor and Director of Trust Area Services – Frater all spoke, passionately, emphasizing the necessity to keep to the timeline, the extensive and costly consultation to date, the cost of delay or deferral, and the amount of staff resources and energy have gone into it. The CAO, at one point, said it would be very bad if the bylaw is not approved by the end of term as it would require educating a new bunch of Trustees after the next election. It is clear that Staff is heavily invested in pushing this forward with highly unusual urgency.

It is Tuesday evening. Apparently the redraft of any changes agreed have to be completed and provided in the agenda for the Jy 8 meeting by Friday this week – 3 working days.

The meeting ended without clarity on how this moves to the Jy 8<sup>th</sup> Spc Trust Council meeting. Apparently something has to go to Executive Council by Friday.

Here are some points noted.

1. Clare Frater is pushing this extremely hard as the culmination of 12 yrs work! Kaile called her on it, reminded them they were on recorded video, and warned that some of the comments could be damaging if they get out.
2. Marlor said it was normal for LTCs to have public consultation before 1<sup>st</sup>Reading. Others piled on to support going to 1<sup>st</sup> Reading before going to LTCs for public comment.
3. Hotsenpiller and Frater both spoke hotly about the risk of delays and the absolute necessity to get this done to support First Nations.
4. Frater - Public engagement plans include advertising (marketing), mailout, survey, website and referrals to many agencies.
5. Proponents for the TPS and 1<sup>st</sup>Reading spoke about extensive consultation that will occur between 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading. Any alternate views were quashed by the proponents and questions about process after 1<sup>st</sup> Reading were typically answered by “we will have extensive consultation” and “we can make any changes we want from what we hear in that consultation.
6. Luckham wants to “welcome indigenous people home, back to the Islands”