

On Jun 1, 2026, at 4:34 PM, Tobi Elliott [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Ewout (and Gavin),

As this is my personal email, please email me at my work email telliott@islandstrust.bc.ca

I'm already asking staff on the update for questions on the FWF Project, so please be patient with that. Your other concerns about the OCP and the Freshwater mapping can go directly to the email, northinfo@islandstrust.bc.ca

Please don't wait for me to comment on your submission. That is your information/perspective and if you want to share this with Trustees and staff, that lets everyone read exactly what you think. I'm not in the habit of censoring the public's opinions.

Cheers, Tobi

On Jun 1, 2026, at 1:01 PM, Ewout van Dishoeck [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Tobi,

Not hearing back on my last call to you, I'm reaching out by email instead.

As you know, following the recent time-strained and much delayed GW Solutions presentation, the LTC Chair explicitly promised Q&A-style feedback to address the 60 questions raised by the community—including my 18-point Technical Inquiry. To date, that public promise remains unfulfilled.

William Shulba does not respond to my emails, a pattern I understand other community members are experiencing as well. I attempted to discuss this with his immediate superior, who has similarly ignored my repeated phone calls. If this unprofessional stonewalling remains unaddressed, I intend to raise the issue formally.

Meanwhile, the Draft OCP has been published featuring a freshwater hazard map that codifies the exact GW Solutions methodology (multiple distinct recharge areas) that my technical inquiry challenged. Staff has essentially bypassed the 2016 Burgess & Allen data, ignored the community's technical questions, and pushed a scientifically contested map into statutory policy.

I have prepared a formal submission (attached here for your preliminary review) outlining how adopting this fragmented map fails the statutory mapping mandates of LGA 473(1)(e) and exposes the LTC to significant administrative liability.

Before I submit this to the formal public record, I wanted to share it with you directly. I am hoping you can shake some trees internally. It is highly problematic for the LTC to be asked to adopt an OCP map while staff are actively ignoring technical inquiries and leaving the Chair's public promises unaddressed.

I would appreciate your thoughts on how to best navigate this bottleneck.

Cheers

Ewout