

From: Dan Rogers
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Paul Milley; Glen Donaldson; northinfo; Joshua Lepin
Subject: RE: Keats Island Shoreline Protection Project FAQ

Paul. I was away for a few days and given your comments I wanted to be sure I read the document in question again before responding.

The document is clear that the “set back “ will remain at 7.5 metres in the current bylaw (for all properties except the two large lots in the middle of the island). . The DPA will be 15 metres from the shoreline. A DPA is quite different from a setback.

The “support’ referenced in the document are to the **objectives** of the DPA set out in the draft OCP bylaw

OBJ 3.1 TO PLAN AND REGULATE NEW DEVELOPMENT IN A MANNER THAT PRESERVES, PROTECTS AND RESTORES THE LONG-TERM PHYSICAL INTEGRITY, CONNECTIVITY, AND ECOLOGICAL AND MARINE RESOURCE VALUES OF SHORELINES AND ASSOCIATED FORESHORE AND UPLAND AREAS;

OBJ 3.2 TO BALANCE DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE ECOLOGICAL CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION OF THE SHORELINE AND MARINE ENVIRONMENT;

OBJ 3.3 TO MINIMIZE THE DISRUPTION OF NATURAL FEATURES AND PROCESSES AND TO RETAIN, WHEREVER POSSIBLE, NATURAL VEGETATION AND NATURAL FEATURES;

OBJ 3.4 TO MAINTAIN THE PUBLIC’S SAFE USE AND ACCESS TO IMPORTANT RECREATION AREAS IN A WAY THAT DOES NOT COMPROMISE THE ECOLOGICAL INTEGRITY OF THE SHORELINE;

OBJ 3.5 TO ADAPT TO THE ANTICIPATED EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE;

OBJ 3.6 TO PROTECT COASTAL PROPERTIES AND DEVELOPMENT FROM DAMAGE AND HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS THAT CAN ARISE FROM EROSION AND FLOODING

I have heard considerable support for those objectives – but as I believe you said at the end of the Working Group process, the devil is in the details.

Dan

From: Paul Milley
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 5:10 PM

To: Dan Rogers; Glen Donaldson; northinfo; Joshua Lepin
Subject: Re: Keats Island Shoreline Protection Project FAQ

I just read this document and I'm disturbed by its tone and confusing references to the set back limit of 15 meters.

I have not heard any supporting comments to this proposal. I have heard only frustration and opposition to the proposed shoreline changes. To state that "you have heard only positive responses from most people" is just misleading.

Most references to setback talk about 15 meters. So are you reintroducing this as the proposed setback?

This all seems very misleading and manipulative.
Paul Milley
Keats Landing

On Fri, Mar 11, 2022 at 6:01 PM Islands Trust <northinfo@islandstrust.bc.ca> wrote:

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) document arriving in Keats property owner mailboxes soon

[Can't read this email? Click here to view it online.](#)

[Send to a Friend](#) ↗

03/12/2022



Keats Island Shoreline Protection Project FAQ

Hello,

On March 10th a frequently asked questions (FAQ) document was mailed to all property owners on Keats Island. Be sure to check your mailboxes or go to "About the Project" on the [project webpage](#) to see the FAQ or get up-to-date information on this important work. Contact the Islands Trust Northern Office at

northinfo@islandstrust.bc.ca or call 250-247-2063 if you didn't get your copy in the mail.

Please do not reply to this message. You may use the links below to contact us or change your subscriber settings.

[Home Page](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage your Subscription](#)
[Unsubscribe](#)



Copyright © 2022 Islands Trust, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:

Islands Trust

200 [1627 Fort Street](#)

[Victoria British Columbia V8R 1H8](#)

[Canada](#)

<http://www.islandstrust.bc.ca/>,

webcomments@islandstrust.bc.ca

--

Paul Milley
Grandfather