

From: Kevin Roote [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2022 10:28 PM
To: northinfo
Subject: Bylaw changes to Keats waterfront owners

There are a number of things that your proposal isn't well thought out as they restrict the necessary use of our homes with only water access.

1. A limit on dock sizes of 105 sq meters? Great for 2 to 3 houses but for 4 or more sharing why limit it? It should be for how many homes are using it of course providing the area in which the dock is located can provide such a dock.

2. Access to our docks, sure 1.5 meters seems reasonable as most of the people I know on the water front have approximately that size of access. But why are we penalized by not allowing a motorized vehicles such as a small tractor or quad? This is standard equipment for most islanders to bring supplies to their homes. Eastbourne residents have trucks, cars, quads, side-by-sides and golf carts and are allowed to drive right through your proposed shoreline protection area to bring their supplies to their homes.

3. Structures near the water. We all have boats and you are not allowing anywhere for us to keep them. What are we supposed to do haul all our small boats up above the protected area? Do you realize how much of an unrealistic thing that is? You seem to think we don't use the water front for anything other than looking at.

Our docks are our Drive Ways just like you have for your cars and rv's to park on. We already have landings and boathouses built.

.

4. As your proposal states this is a complaint based enforced bylaw. If someone complains are they responsible to prove the infractions? Or is the land owner put in a position of spending thousands of dollars to prove their not breaking any bylaws? Example Eastbourne deck with a gazebo and walk way. Had to pay thousands on surveying and only was out by a few feet. So lost a part of their deck. This bylaw will attract a lot of people to complain about any little thing

and your proposed fine is \$5,000. Per day as a new infraction every day until it has been satisfactory fixed!!!!!!

If any of you have ever been around Keats Island you will see that the majority of the shoreline is still totally natural. But not only that the older developed properties have trees that go out over the high tide line providing exactly what you are exempting to protect.

I hear this untrue phrase from your group "On going increase in shore line development" there currently is only one home being constructed on the waterfront right now. I think your phrase should be "With the decreasing shoreline development we dont require unnecessary changes in the bylaw". Keats Islanders already have a great respect for the natural beauty of the shoreline.

Have some respect for us. We don't need your unnecessary proposal.

Respectfully

Kevin Roote

Plumper Cove, Keats Island