

From: Sarah Davidson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 22, 2024 3:48 PM
To: Alex Allen
Cc: Grant Scott; Timothy Peterson; northinfo
Subject: STVR - the meeting today

Hi Alex,

I live streamed today's meeting. I thought your idea of having a principal residence requirement along with allowing TUP's for others deserved more attention than it got. I thought everyone got confused, about the term "opting in" to a principal residence requirement.

Can't the Hornby OCP/LUB limit short term vacation rentals to principal residences? Or is that illegal in some way? I didn't think you had to opt into the Provincial legislation to decide to do that - it was, after all, a recommendation from the APC before this provincial legislation was proposed.

So, if we could do that and, basically, adopt the relevant parts of the Provincial legislation (including the definition of principal residence) into our OCP/LUB without opting into the legislation we could then allow TUP applications for people who don't comply. Then anyone who is being caught in an unexpected change can have three years to get used to the idea and decide what to do. You could announce that the trustees would be very reluctant to renew the TUP, or that the trustees foresee opting into the legislation in 3 years..

I don't know how hard TUP's are to apply for or administer. But you've asked staff to tell us.

Take care,

Sarah