From:	john barrett
Sent:	Wednesday, February 28, 2024 4:18 PM
То:	Stephen Baugh
Subject:	LA-RZ-2023.1-LTC

\Xi JB 2			

I would like my opinion to be included as not supporting LA-RZ-2023.1-LTC.

I am sending a letter written by me regarding the first application. It contains questions which the LTC should address re permitted uses.

LA-RZ-2023.1-LTC. Is this in the public interest? How would granting this application further the Goals of the Islands Trust?

Yours, John M Barrett Lasqueti resident.

## Lasqueti Trust Committee

John Barrett Lasqueti BC July 15 2020

Regarding an application to amend the Riral Land Use Bylaw

Issue: The application wpould if succesful allow a private dock. The RLUB states that private docks are not a permitted use. However the LTC must accept applications to amend the RLUB, and give the application due process, The LTC can chose to accept the application, or chose to not accept the application.

"pinion: The LTC should chose to not accept theses applications, A very recent review of the OCP stated clearly that the public do not support private docks.

Precedents: The approval of thes rezoning would not set a legal precedent, but would set a social and political precedent. Future applicants would still have to apply, but could ask for similar treatment, making it difficult to refuse their rezoning without claims of unfairness.

Fermitted use? Does the application define the permitted uses ? What a re permitted use; under the existing bylaw? Can docks be used for commercial purposes? Are there limits on noise, lights, structures, number of vessels, guest stays, storage of fuel? Can a floating home or vessel be tied to the dock and used for private or rental accomedation? How many floating home or vessels many be tied to the dock? For how long?

A simple restriction would be to limit the permitted use of a private dock to non-residentia /non commercial use for the moorage of the upland owners private vessels. No structures, no = rentals or accomodation, or rental prace.

Question? Does the applicant require a for shore lease? License of accupation?

Who benefits? Not the public

"ow would such a bylaw amendment meet the Islands Trust Folicy guidelines, or further the Islands Trust Objectives.

"f the RLUB is to have meaning, it must be difficult to amend. The RLUB does allow for consideration of an amendment for regonig. It does not allow for consideration of an apllication to regularize illegal activitys.

The LTC should resolve to not proceed with this application. This will confirm that the exis dock is in contravention of the bylaw. If the owner does not temove #1 it, the LTC may resolve to proceed with a legal challenge. The results of such a challenge would establish guidelines for future decisions,

This is not a personality issue but a legal one. This is a test of our OCPEs validity and strength and the inclusive process that created it. The bylaw is clear, the public support it, and the LTC should chose to not accept this application to rezone.

If theTrust Committee wisheas to examine the uses of Scotty Bay, then that is when a public process is appropriate. ACommittee would look at current uses, stakeholder opinions, options and establish clear goals and viable solutions, A public dock at the existing public access, zoned by the LTC and administered by the Regional District would give all users access, to lauch small craft.

I reccomend that the LasquetiTrust Commitee resolve to not accept the rezon applications.

Your,s John Barrett