

Heather Kauer

From: Dave Olsen [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 10:43 PM
To: Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee
Subject: Suggested changes to the Lasqueti Draft OCP

Hi Heather and Trustees,

I have compiled a list of suggested changes for you to consider below. I look forward to your comments.

Dave Olsen

Page 8, last sentence of the last paragraph before the table:

Currently: "The ferry does not provide vehicle service, which therefore requires barging over of vehicles and other large items."

Change to "The ferry service is passenger-only."

Rationale: Obviously, no one is required to barge a vehicle or other large items, and the ferry is exactly that, passenger-only.

Page 18, top of page, Objective 3

Currently: "**Objective 3** To support the creation of a public trail network throughout the Island. "

Delete

Rationale: Objective 2 embodies the sentiment of the Community; there has been strong opposition to the creation of a public trail network.

Page 18, bottom of page continuing to page 19, Policy 5

Currently: "**Policy 5** The Local Trust Committee recognizes that treaty negotiations with First Nations continue to be unresolved and until the resolution of First Nation interests within the Lasqueti Planning Area relationship building and cooperation between the Local Trust Committee and other First Nations may be developed over time."

Suggested reworking: "**Policy 5** The Local Trust Committee recognizes that treaty negotiations with First Nations continue to be unresolved and until the resolution of First Nation interests within the Lasqueti Planning Area **are completed**, relationship building and cooperation between the Local Trust Committee and other First Nations ~~may~~ **will continue to** be developed over time." (highlights added to make changes easier to notice).

Rationale: the spirit of this policy is dramatically enhanced.

Page 19, top of page, last bullet of Policy 6

Currently: "encouraging landowners and builders to assess the presence and extent of archaeological heritage prior to establishing building plans or other developments, and modify development plans accordingly and/or, where damage is unavoidable, mitigate impacts by supporting the recovery of as much information as possible"

Change: Remove everything from and including "and/or , where damage is unavoidable, mitigate impacts by supporting the recovery of as much information as possible"

Rationale: Common sense will suggest the removed portion when required; suggesting that in advance will encourage that outcome and undermine the intended outcome described by the first part of the policy.

Page 20, near top, Advocacy Policy 1 and 2:

Advocacy Policy 1 states "prohibit" regarding nuclear waste, however,

Advocacy Policy 2 uses the word "oppose" regarding GMOs.

We likely have zero jurisdiction over either, so I advocate for the use of "prohibit" for both instances.

Page 22, bottom of page:

The first 5 Advocacy Policies all refer to derelict vehicles. I will coordinate with the qRD's Waste Management Advisory Committee to see if we can consolidate these and make a recommended change soon.

Page 26, near bottom of page Garbage Disposal Advocacy Policies

I suggest removing Advocacy Policy 1 as Advocacy Policy 3 includes all of it plus much more.

Page 34 Crown Lands

No mention of First Nation title or use exists which is an obvious need given the FNWL recently tenured to the Snaw-Naw-As.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dave Olsen

-