

**From:** elissa poole [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 12, 2024 10:52 AM  
**To:** SSInfo  
**Subject:** Bylaw 537

To: Laura Patrick and Tim Peterson, Trustees - just a note to say if the meeting to give bylaw 537 first through third readings - mistakenly advertised as first reading only, which is a problem on its own - turns out to be a back door means of pushing through this idea of spot zoning, it certainly has the potential of facilitating more ADUs in additional areas than would be compatible with the OCP provisions on population growth. One would expect such an important decision to be made with care, with full public participation, and with the criteria for spot zoning made clear well in advance, and with reliable adequate checks and balances in place - ie., on public transportation lines, designed especially as affordable housing, clear controls on vacation rentals, etc. Otherwise it's just Bylaw 530 on the sly, isn't it? With the same long-term implications, and the same legality issues....

Elissa Poole